

MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY 2nd SAMs 2017 Unit 1 (Non-calculator) Intermediate Tier	Mark	MARK SCHEME Comments (Page 1)
<p>1. (a) (Total wage for 10 people) 10×280 (Wage of each of the other 9 people =) $(2800 - 1000) \div 9$ (£)200</p> <p>Median AND modal wage (£)200</p> <p>(b) Inserts £200 and gives a reason relating to 'median' or 'mode' including a related statement such as 'the most common' or 'the middle value'</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>m1 A1 B1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>5</p>	<p>(£2800)</p> <p>FT 'their 2800'</p> <p>FT 'their derived 200'</p> <p>Needs sight of intention of reference to the median and / or mode</p> <p>Only award if clearly linked to evidence of understanding of the average selected. Accept a reason justifying the selection of 'mode or median' or 'not the mean'.</p>
<p>2.(a) 11:30 (b) 12 minutes (c)(i) 17:37 train selected at Blaenau Ffestiniog, (Arrives 18:35 Llandudno Junction,) and Departs Llandudno Junction at 18:39</p> <p>Arrives in Rhyl at 18:55</p> <p>17:37 → 23 (minutes) + 55 (minutes) → 18:55 or 78 (minutes)</p> <p>1 hour 18 minutes</p> <p>Organisation and communication Accuracy of writing</p> <p>(ii) 19:12 AND reason e.g. catches the next train (at Llandudno Junction at 18:53)</p>	<p>B1 B1 M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>OC1 W1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>9</p>	<p>Needs sight of 17:37 train and 18:39 train</p> <p>May be implied</p> <p>Or alternative method to find the time difference e.g. using the durations given in the timetables, 58 + 4 + 16 (= 78 mins) etc</p>
<p>3. Correct rooms allocated to (Sasha and Mia), (Mr & Mrs Jones), (Flavia), (Mr & Mrs Evans), (Morys & Ifan), (Heledd) and (Mr & Mrs Igorson).</p>	<p>B4</p> <p>4</p>	<p><i>There are several acceptable combinations.</i> B4 for all 7. B3 for 6. B2 for 5. B1 for 4.</p>
<p>4.</p> <p>(a) $7 \times 99p$ worked as $7 \times £1 - 7 \times 1p$ $5 \times £3.95$ worked as $5 \times £4 - 5 \times 5p$ $3 \times £7.50 - 3 \times 1p$ or $3 \times £7 + 3 \times 50p - 3 \times 1p$ Total (£)49.15 or 4915p</p> <p>(b) Wrong change, should be 85p</p>	<p>B1 B1 B1 B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>5</p>	<p>Accept equivalent simple methods involving compensation from rounding with multiplication or any valid multiplication method throughout, but not repeated addition</p> <p>Allow £49.15p. Answer in (a) or (b)</p> <p>FT provided less than £50 and of equivalent difficulty.</p>

MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY 2nd SAMs 2017 Unit 1 (Non-calculator) Intermediate Tier	Mark	MARK SCHEME Comments (Page 2)
5.(a) Reason e.g. 'fair comparison', 'doing survey the same way' (b) (i) Name: Shaun Length in range 10.3 to 10.5(cm) (ii) Shaun with a reason, e.g. 'Shaun because (positive) correlation', 'Shaun because leaves are similar', 'Shaun as there is a connection between length and width' (iii) Reasonable straight line of best fit (iv) Width in the range 6.8 to 7.5 cm	B1 B1 B1 B1 B1 5	Points above and below following trend OR correct reading from their line of best fit
6.(a) Use of $\times 48 \div 4$ or $\times 12$ OR realising 55g is 2oz $(12 \times 55) \div 110 \times 4$ OR 2×12 OR equivalent 24 (ounces) (b) 150 fl oz = 150×25 (ml) (=3750 ml) 1 pancake $37.5 / 4$ (= 9.375) ml water, or notices 3750 is $100 \times$ 'amount given in recipe' ($3750 / 9.375$ OR $100 \times 4 =$) 400 (pancakes)	B1 M1 A1 M1 M1 A1 6	(2 oz for 4 pancakes, so 2×12) OR $3750 \div 37.5 = 100$
7. Attempt at unit cost e.g. for 100ml or 1ml, OR 1(.)28 / 8(00) with 45 / 3(00) or similar, OR looking to equate volumes, OR looking to <u>almost</u> equate volumes no more than 100ml difference, e.g. by looking at 3×300 ml with 800ml, or 2×800 ml with 5×300 ml. Large bottle 16(p) per 100ml or 0.16(p) per 1ml. Small bottle 15(p) per 100ml or 0.15(p) per 1ml. Better value statement, conclusion small bottle.	S1 B1 B1 E1 4	e.g. Idea of doubling or halving to equate, each done more than once. Method that would lead to a correct equate or comparison, e.g. for 300ml, 1200ml, 2400ml, ... OR 2.4l costs (£)3.84 or 1.2l costs (£)1.92 OR 2.4l costs (£)3.60 or 1.2l costs (£)1.80 E mark is dependent on conditions: EITHER Award provided B1 and B1 awarded, OR Award as FT from their logical conclusion provided at least B1 awarded, ignoring further incorrect processing within a final statement, OR Award provided S1 awarded for conclusion from comparison with correct calculations and correct difference in price for stated extra volume, e.g. '(900ml in) 3 small bottles (is £1.35) which is better value because you get 100ml more (than a large bottle) for 7p more'

MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY 2nd SAMs 2017 Unit 1 (Non-calculator) Intermediate Tier	Mark	MARK SCHEME Comments (Page 3)
8.(a)(i) 4.5(00 m) (ii) 3000×700 with an attempt to change units $2.1 \text{ (m}^2\text{)}$ (b) $60 \times 70 \times \dots = 420\,000$ 100 (cm) (c) Sight of maximum length of worktop(s) 301.5(cm) or 603 (cm) Sight of minimum length of wall 602.5(cm) Problem caused by 603(cm) worktop along wall (only) 602.5(cm) long Difference in measurement is 0.5 cm	B1 M1 A1 M1 A1 B1 B1 E1 B1 9	Attempt to change units needs evidence of $\div 10^n$ where $n \geq 3$ Or equivalent method
9.(a) Shows understanding that the pie charts don't show how many computers were sold (b) Top right graph	E1 B1 2	
10.(a) 45.4 cm (b) $(x - 1) \times 1.6 + 13.4 = 61.4$ OR $x = \frac{61.4 - 13.4}{1.6} + 1$ 31 (cartons)	B1 M2 A1 4	Accept equation where x is the number of stacked cups (excluding the bottom one), provided 1 is added at the end. M1 for $1.6 \times x + 13.4 = 61.4$ (omitting +1), or $x = (61.4 - 13.4) / 1.6$, or M1 for an equation that would be correct apart from missing brackets, or M1 for correct equation expressed in words. <i>Accept missing brackets if implied by a correct response.</i> If no marks allow SC1 for 31 (cartons). <i>Alternative method (using answer to (a)):</i> $(x - 21) \times 1.6 = 61.4 - 45.4 = 16$ M1 $x - 21 = 10$ M1 $x = 31$ A1
11.(a) Measuring a distance slightly greater than the direct distance between White Castle and Skenfrith Castle. Approximate answer for $11 \div$ 'their measured distance'. Reasonable answer from appropriate calculation (b) 065° 197° (c) One of the appropriate perpendicular bisectors $\pm 2^\circ$ shown X indicated, with both correct perpendicular bisectors $\pm 2^\circ$	M1 M1 A1 B1 B1 M1 A1 7	FT their measured distance in cm. FT from M0, M1 Allow a tolerance of $\pm 2^\circ$.

MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY 2nd SAMs 2017 Unit 1 (Non-calculator) Intermediate Tier	Mark	MARK SCHEME Comments (Page 4)
<p>12.(a) $[15 + 10 \times 2 + 15 \times 0.20] \times 2$</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(£)76</p> <p>(b)(i) e.g. $\times 2$ to account for 2 people working</p> <p>(ii) Sight of $10 \times h$ OR $(0).2 \times m$ OR $m / 5$</p> <p>$T = 2(15 + 10 h + 0.2m)$ or equivalent</p> <p>(c) Explanation, e.g. '60×20p is more than the cost per hour', or '£12 paying for an hour charged by the minute, but £10 for the hour', '55×20p (=£11) is more than the cost per hour', or 'between 51 and 60 minutes cost more than an hour', or similar</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B2</p> <p>E2</p> <p>8</p>	<p>Intention to $\times 2$, however brackets may be missing</p> <p>Or equivalent in pence throughout</p> <p>B1 for $(T =) 15 + 10 \times h + (0).2 \times m (\times 2)$, i.e. missing brackets or partially in brackets OR $(15 + 10 \times h + (0).2 \times m) \times 2$ with any 2 of the 3 terms within the brackets correct</p> <p>E1 for an attempt to calculate the charge for 1 hour 55 minutes</p>
<p>13.(a) April</p> <p>Reason, e.g. greatest range, or greatest interquartile range</p> <p>(b) TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE FALSE</p> <p>(c) States or implies 'not possible to tell' with a reason, e.g. 'can't tell as it doesn't give any information about how much rain fell', or 'just the difference between maximum and minimum not how much rain fell', or 'don't know as the difference between UQ & LQ doesn't give the actual amount of rain, just a range for the middle 50%'</p>	<p>E1</p> <p>B2</p> <p>B1</p> <p>4</p>	<p>B1 for any 4 correct</p>

MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY 2nd SAMs 2017 Unit 1 (Non-calculator) Intermediate Tier	Mark	MARK SCHEME Comments (Page 5)
<p>14.(a) <u>Yellow Party</u></p> <p>Taxable income (55000 – 5000=) (€)50000</p> <p>AND</p> <p>(10% tax to be paid on (€)10000 =) (€)1000</p> <p>(25% tax to be paid on (€)20000=) (€)5000</p> <p>AND</p> <p>(50% tax to be paid on (€)20000=) (€)10000</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Yellow Party Tax to pay (€)16000</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><u>Orange Party</u></p> <p>Taxable income (55000 – 10000=) (€)45000</p> <p>AND</p> <p>(20% tax to be paid on (€)20000 =) (€)4000</p> <p>(40% tax to be paid on (€)25000=) (€)10000</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Orange Party Tax to pay (€)14000</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Orange Party (€)2000 (less to pay)</p> <p>(b) Reason, e.g. ‘most of his earnings taxed at 40% rather than at 50%’</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>8</p>	<p>FT 50% of (‘their 50000’ – 30000)</p> <p>CAO</p> <p>FT 40% of (‘their 45000’ – 20000)</p> <p>CAO</p> <p>FT their subtraction provided at least B2 awarded in each tax calculation.</p> <p>The reason must focus on the 40% and 50% comparison. Do not accept ‘pays less tax’ without an explanation.</p>